

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/790,663	GRYKO ET AL.	

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
BEN C. WANG	2192	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** pending

(1) BEN C. WANG. (3) \_\_\_\_.  
 (2) Mr. Peter Trahms-Neudorfer (Reg. No. 59,282). (4) \_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 28 October 2009

**Time:** \_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 8, and 15

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:  
Examiner proposed amendments to claims 1, 8, and 15 to overcome potential rejection under 35 USC 112, secondary paragraph by more clearly and positively reciting structural elements of a statutory machine.

Mr. Trahms-Neudorfer authorized an Examiner's Amendment incorporating the proposed changes.